

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**

|                                |   |                          |      |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------|
| In re Application of:          | § | Confirmation No.:        | 2628 |
| Mark S. Wallace                | § |                          |      |
|                                | § | Group Art Unit:          | 2476 |
| Serial No.: 10/693,169         | § |                          |      |
|                                | § | Examiner: V. Haliyur     |      |
| Filed: October 23, 2003        | § |                          |      |
|                                | § |                          |      |
| For: CHANNEL CALIBRATION FOR A | § | Attorney Dkt. No. 020621 |      |
| TIME DIVISION DUPLEXED         | § |                          |      |
| COMMUNICATION SYSTEM           | § |                          |      |

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.8, I certify that this Correspondence is being submitted to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 via the USPTO's EFS-Web Electronic Filing System on **27 SEPTEMBER 2011.**

/jameshuntYanceyjr53809/

James Hunt Yancey, Jr., USPTO Reg. No. 53,809

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT SUBMISSION**

Applicant submits the new IDS in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. This Application is related to a number of co-pending cases (both US and international) and Applicant submits items from these related matters to ensure that the Examiner receives items known to Applicant. It is believed that having the cited references reviewed rather than securing a patent at the present moment enables the USPTO to review additional references.

Applicant acknowledges that a large number of references are cited in the newly submitted IDS. Applicant cites the new items out of an abundance of caution to ensure full compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56. Applicant follows MPEP guidance and USPTO recommendations that states, "[w]hen in doubt, it is desirable and safest to submit information." See MPEP § 2004 (Consideration Number 10) (citing *LaBounty Mfg., Inc. v. U.S. ITC*, 958 F.2d 1066, 1067 (Fed. Cir. 1992)). Applicant also follows the guidance in MPEP § 2004 that "[e]ven though the attorney, agent, or applicant doesn't consider it necessarily material, someone else may see it different and embarrassing questions can be avoided." *Id.* Applicant follows these USPTO recommendations by providing the new IDS submission.

The submission of the information shall not be deemed an admission that the information is necessarily material to the patentability of this Application. In addition, the submission of this information shall not be deemed that a search has been conducted or that the cited information is prior art. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(g)-(h).

Applicant authorizes charging of all necessary fees to review this new IDS submission, and the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees deemed due to Dep. Acct. 17-0026 for acceptance of this submission.

Qualcomm Patent Group  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121  
P: (858) 845-7534  
E: hunter@qualcomm.com  
**DATE: 27 SEPTEMBER 2011**

Respectfully submitted,  
QUALCOMM, INC.  
/jameshuntanceyjr53809/  
James Hunt Yancey, Jr.  
USPTO Reg. No. 53,809